

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/614,045 07/08/2003 Kazuo Hiraguchi Q76355 6710 **EXAMINER** 23373 7590 10/04/2004 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC RIVERA, WILLIAM ARAUZ 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037 3654

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/614,04	5	HIRAGUCHI, KAZU	10 58	
		Examiner		Art Unit		
		William A I		3654		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	This action is no	on-final.			
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 and 9-13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 6-8,14 and 15 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) 🛛 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO r No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/28/2003</u> .			rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 2 is vague and indefinite. On line 2, the phrase 2.3 mm or more is unclear because it sets forth non-equivalent alternatives.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3, and 9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wada (U.S. Patent No. 6,437,938).

With respect to Claims 1, 3, and 9-13, Wada, Figures 3-5, teaches a tape cartridge 1 which can be inserted into a tape drive, the tape cartridge comprising: a reel 20; a leader pin 1-7; a case 1-1; a tape access opening (near 1-8); a pin holding structure 1-8; wherein a thickness of a portion of the ceiling plate and a portion of the floor plate which each may be contacted by an end surface of the leader pin which is positioned at the holding position, is thicker than an average thickness of the ceiling plate and the floor plate.

Application/Control Number: 10/614,045

Art Unit: 3654

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wada as applied to claims 1, 3, and 9-13 above.

With respect to Claim 2, Wada is advanced above. Wada teaches all the elements of the cartridge except for the thickness. However, it would have been an obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, as determined through routine experimentation and optimization, to dimension the thickness of the ceiling plate and floor plate of Wada as specified in Claim 2, line 2 because one of ordinary skill would have been expected to have routinely experimented to determine the optimum dimensions for a particular use.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wada as applied to claims 1, 3, and 9-13 above, and further in view of Gelardi (U.S. Patent No. 4,775,115).

With respect to claim 4, Wada is advanced above. Wada teaches all the elements of the cartridge except for an inclined wall portion. Gelardi, Figure 4, teaches a front wall, a side wall, and an inclined wall portion. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide Wada with an inclined wall portion, as taught by Gelardi, for the purpose of facilitating the access to the leader pin.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wada as applied to claims 1, 3, and 9-13 above, and further in view of Ridl et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,505,789).

Application/Control Number: 10/614,045

Art Unit: 3654

With respect to Claim 5, Wada is advanced above. Wada teaches all the elements of the cartridge except for an elastic portion. Ridl et al, Figures 1 and 3, teach an elastic portion 44. it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide Wada with an elastic portion, as taught by Ridl et al, for the purpose of securely fastening the leader pin within the cartridge.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6-8 and 14-15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William A Rivera whose telephone number is 703-308-2684. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday - 7:30 to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kathy Matecki can be reached on 703-308-2688. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/614,045 Page 5

Art Unit: 3654

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

WILLIAM A. RIVERA PRIMARY EXAMINER

September 29, 2004